

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/545,658	(	04/10/2000	Rick A. Briggs	BRIGGS.011CP1	BRIGGS.011CP1 2398	
27948	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN A. BARNEY, ESQ.				MOSSER, ROBERT E		
312 SIGNA	L ROAD				D. DED 3412 (DED	
SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92663		3714		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/545,658	BRIGGS ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Robert Mosser	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the O</li> <li>A reply was received on <u>03 March 2004</u> (with a Ce expiration of the period for reply (including a total expiration)</li> </ol>	ertificate of Mailing or Transmission date extension of time of 3 month(s)) which e	xpired on <u>27 February 2004</u> .	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it do	·		jection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		r
(c) A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S		mpt at a proper reply, to the n	on-
(d) No reply has been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO)	L-85).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, which is after the expiration of the statutor Allowance (PTOL-85).	was received on (with a Certificate y period for payment of the issue fee (ar	ate of Mailing or Transmission at publication fee) set in the N	n dated lotice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala	nce of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	s not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as r Allowability (PTO-37).	required by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of	
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which	ıis
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the ass	gnee of the entire interest, or	all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CF	<sup>:</sup> R
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of	ference rendered on and becaus laims.	e the period for seeking court	review
7. The reason(s) below:			
See Continuation Sheet	GREGORY SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY (		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term.	ndraw the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly file	ed to
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic	ce of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 2004	40809

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The certificate of mailing date of 4-27-2004 has been given no weight as the receipt date of the amendment is prior to the certificate of mailing date. In turn the receipt date of the amendment is taken to be the office receipt date of the amendment on March 3rd and hence is untimely. Applicant's redress of this issue should be handled through petition practice (ex. unintentional abandonment) and may not corrected through the submission of a declaration such as the one submitted 3-15-2004.